

NOVA University of Newcastle Research Online

nova.newcastle.edu.au

Paul, Christine; Wolfenden, Luke; Tzelepis, Flora; Yoong, Serene; Bowman, Jenny; Wye, Paula; Sherwood, Emma; Rose, Shiho; Wiggers, John "Nicotine replacement therapy as a smoking cessation aid among disadvantaged smokers: what answers do we need?". Published in Drug and Alcohol Review Vol. 35, Issue 6, p. 785-789 (2016)

Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/dar.12362

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Paul, C., Wolfenden, L., Tzelepis, F., Yoong, S., Bowman, J., Wye, P., Sherwood, E., Rose, S., and Wiggers, J. (2016) Nicotine replacement therapy as a smoking cessation aid among disadvantaged smokers: What answers do we need? Drug and Alcohol Review, 35: 785–789, which has been published in final form at http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/dar.12362. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Self-Archiving.

Accessed from: http://hdl.handle.net/1959.13/1321295

Nicotine replacement therapy (NRT) as a smoking cessation aid among disadvantaged smokers: What answers do we need?

Running Head: Exploring Nicotine Replacement Therapy use by disadvantaged smokers

Authors:

Christine Paul, BA(Hons), PhD, NHMRC Career Development Fellow^{1,2}

Luke Wolfenden, BSc(Hons), PhD, Associate Professor 1,2,3

Flora Tzelepis, BSc(Psych)(Hons), PhD, National Heart Foundation Postdoctoral Research

Fellow^{1,2,3}

Serene Yoong, BN&D, NHF PostDoctoral Fellow 1,2,3

Jenny Bowman, BSc(Hons), MPsych(Clinical), PhD, Associate Professor^{1,2}

Paula Wye, BPsych(Hons), PhD, NHMRC Research Fellow^{2,3}

Emma Sherwood, BPsych(Hons), Research Assistant^{1,2}

Shiho Rose, BSc, PhD candidate and Research Assistant^{1,2}

John Wiggers, BA(Hons), GDip(Health), PhD, Professor^{1,2,3}

Affiliations:

- 1. Priority Research Centre for Health Behaviour. University of Newcastle, Callaghan, New South Wales, Australia.
- 2. Hunter Medical Research Institute. HMRI Building, University of Newcastle, Callaghan, New South Wales, Australia.
- 3. Hunter New England Population Health. Newcastle, New South Wales, Australia

Correspondence to:

A/Prof. Chris Paul

Priority Research Centre for Health Behaviour

School of Medicine & Public Health

W4, HMRI Building

University of Newcastle

Callaghan NSW 2308, Australia

Ph: +61 2 4042 0693

Fax: +61 2 4042 0044

Email: chris.paul@newcastle.edu.au

ABSTRACT

In Australia and New Zealand, population groups who experience social disadvantage smoke

at much higher rates than the general population. As there is limited data specific to these

groups regarding the success of Nicotine Replacement Therapy (NRT) for smoking cessation,

this commentary will provide an overview of the relevant international literature

supplemented with observational data relevant to the policy contexts in Australia and New

Zealand.

Key Words: smoking cessation, population groups, nicotinic agonists, Australia, New

Zealand

3

COMMENTARY

Introduction

In Australia and New Zealand, 12.8% [1] and 15% [2] respectively of the adult population smoke cigarettes daily. Despite significant declines in smoking rates for the general population and for some disadvantaged groups such as Indigenous Australians [1], those experiencing social disadvantage continue to smoke at much higher rates than the general population. In Australia, these rates of smoking include: 19.9% for the lowest socioeconomic quintile [1], 31.6-44.6% for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people [1,3], 32.4% of those with mental illness [4], 77% of those reporting substance abuse [5], and 75-85% of prisoners [6,7]. In New Zealand, Maori (33%) and Pacific Island peoples (23%) smoke at much higher rates than the general population [2].

Nicotine Replacement Therapy (NRT) can increase the rate of successful smoking cessation by 50%-70% across the general population [8]. However, the impact of NRT on smoking cessation among specific disadvantaged groups has not been thoroughly examined.

Addressing this knowledge gap could lead to better policy decisions and reduced smoking rates in Australia and New Zealand. This commentary provides an overview of published and grey literature which is relevant to policy-related decisions in Australia and New Zealand regarding the use of NRT as a tool for increasing smoking cessation in disadvantaged groups. The critical issues covered in this commentary include:

- Understanding whether NRT is effective for disadvantaged groups;
- Identifying access to and uptake of NRT among disadvantaged groups in Australia and New Zealand; and

 Considering enablers, challenges and barriers to NRT use among disadvantaged groups in Australia and New Zealand.

For the purpose of the overview, disadvantaged populations were defined as those who have a low income, and/or, face a number of other issues such as mental illness, sole parenthood, unemployment, family violence, homelessness, drug and alcohol problems, criminal justice issues, limited education, social isolation as well as Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander people, and Maori or Pacific Islander people.

Given the lack of NRT trial evidence collected in the Australian and New Zealand context, the intention of the commentary was to provide a policy-relevant overview, drawing firstly on the international trial evidence and secondly drawing on observational data with relevance to Australia and New Zealand. Therefore, the literature search involved: Two systematic searches of electronic databases to identify reviews (meta-analyses or narrative syntheses) and individual studies published 2004-2014 which contained either: i) assessment of NRT effectiveness with disadvantaged populations as part of primary or subgroup analyses; or ii) descriptions of barriers to NRT use among disadvantaged groups in Australia and New Zealand. Hand searches of Australian and New Zealand health-related journals (2011-2014) and targeted searches of the grey literature were also performed, including review of websites and contacting organisations relevant to each objective regarding published reviews, reports and data. Relevant organisations included the Australian and New Zealand state and federal health departments, non-government health organisations, professional associations, and individual tobacco control researchers. Together these approaches were intended to capture the key evidence needed to inform

policy development in Australia and New Zealand regarding NRT as a smoking cessation strategy for disadvantaged groups.

Effectiveness of NRT on smoking cessation among disadvantaged people

While a number of reviews have examined the effectiveness of smoking interventions more broadly in disadvantaged groups, these reviews failed to specifically isolate the impact of NRT use amongst these groups. As indicated in Table 1, few randomised trials have examined the effectiveness of NRT among disadvantaged groups worldwide. Most trials involved NRT used in combination with other forms of cessation support such as telephone or face-to-face counselling. The evidence is generally equivocal regarding whether the use of NRT in combination with other support is effective for smoking cessation in disadvantaged groups.

[INSERT TABLE 1 HERE]

Access to and uptake of NRT for disadvantaged groups in Australia and New Zealand
NRT (nicotine gum, lozenges, patches and inhalers) is available in both Australia and New
Zealand over-the-counter at pharmacies or supermarkets. In New Zealand, free NRT is
available for at least four weeks with a prescription [35], or without a prescription via
Quitcards and Quitline. Maori and Pacific Islander persons also have access to NRT and quit
smoking programmes via providers of smoking cessation services [36-38] and New Zealand
prisons provide NRT to inmates [39]. The Australian government heavily subsidises one 12
week course of nicotine patches per year with a prescription via the Pharmaceutical Benefits
Scheme (PBS) [40]. Concession card holders have their cost even further subsidised.
Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people are able to access additional courses of NRT at
low or no cost under the Closing the Gap scheme and/or via community organisations [41-

43]. Most state or territory smoke-free policies or legislation for mental health facilities include the provision of NRT to manage nicotine withdrawal [44-48]. Almost all Australian states provide access to NRT for adult prisoners [49], with the context of cessation rapidly changing as more prisons become smoke-free.

Evidence of NRT uptake is variable. In New Zealand, population survey data indicate that 21% of smokers of Maori background had used NRT to assist with quit attempts [50]. Quitline users of Maori background and those most socioeconomically disadvantaged were more likely to be dispensed NRT [51]. In Australia, an analysis of Medicare data indicated that approximately 75% of prescriptions for nicotine patches were for concession patients [52]. The International Tobacco Control survey of Australian smokers reported that 29% of recent quitters of low socioeconomic status (SES) used NRT, with no differences in NRT use according to SES level [53]. Only half of mental health inpatients assessed as nicotine dependent are offered NRT, and only 26% of community mental health services in New South Wales recommend NRT to the majority of clients [54,55]. Despite New Zealand prisons being 100% smoke-free since 2011, and the majority of Australian State and Territory prisons adopting smoke-free policies, the impact of these initiatives on NRT use is unreported.

Enablers, barriers and challenges to NRT use among disadvantaged smokers

Despite subsidisation, the cost of NRT has been identified as a barrier to its use by disadvantaged groups [56-61]. Lack of awareness of NRT availability is an identified barrier for use among Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people [62,63], Arabic speaking residents [60], clients from community welfare services in Australia [59]; and to those residing in highly deprived areas in New Zealand [64]. Perceived ineffectiveness of NRT has

been noted by prisoners and ex-prisoners [65], those who identify as Maori [57] and peers of welfare organisation clients [59]. Barriers to distributing NRT in mental health and drug and alcohol settings [66,67] include: an acceptance of smoking; low perceived priority of smoking cessation care; inadequate staff time, skills, training and knowledge [68]; and perceived client disinterest [69]. Disadvantaged [70] and Maori smokers [71] report the cost and effort required to obtain NRT from services outweigh the benefits of subsidies.

Similarly, the limited and delayed availability of NRT in remote communities is a barrier to use [62]. People who identified as Maori felt that NRT was not culturally appropriate [71] while Aboriginal people reported that smoking is an accepted behaviour in communities, and that cultural beliefs impede accessing NRT [72].

Resolving the Conundrum of NRT use among Disadvantaged Groups

The evidence that NRT is effective in improving cessation among specific disadvantaged groups is weak. In both Australia and New Zealand some forms of free and/or subsidised NRT are available for disadvantaged population groups. Analysis of the relative costs and benefits of strategies to increase the ease of access to free or subsidised NRT appears warranted. A range of studies are needed to provide a sound basis for policy making.

A large placebo-controlled randomised controlled trial is needed to compare the effectiveness of NRT with no intervention or minimal intervention for encouraging disadvantaged smokers to successfully quit. Such a trial needs to be designed and sufficiently powered to conduct sub-group analyses for particular disadvantaged groups such as those with a mental illness.

In addition, comparative effectiveness trials should be conducted to examine whether NRT in combination with other evidence-based strategies, including population-level strategies,

provides any additional cessation benefit for disadvantaged groups. In addition, qualitative and observational studies are needed to further examine the following in disadvantaged groups: knowledge regarding smoking and NRT, the benefits of pro-active methods for offering NRT, interventions which address cultural and economic barriers to using NRT, the behaviour of health care providers and how cultural barriers to NRT may be addressed.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Australian National Preventive Health Authority. Dr Flora Tzelepis was supported by a Postdoctoral Research Fellowship (100128) from the National Heart Foundation of Australia. A/Prof Christine Paul is supported by an NHMRC Career Development Fellowship (1061335). Luke Wolfenden is supported by an NHMRC Career Development Fellowship. Serene Yoong is supported by a National Heart Foundation postdoctoral fellowship.

REFERENCES

- [1] Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. National Drug Strategy Household Survey detailed report 2013. Drug statistics series no. 28. Cat. no. PHE 183. Canberra: AIHW, 2014.
- [2] Ministry of Health. The New Zealand Guidelines for Helping People to Stop Smoking. Wellington: Ministry of Health, 2014. .
- [3] Australian Bureau of Statistics. Australian Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander health survey: updated results, 2012–13: table 10 smoker status by age, Indigenous status and sex [data cube]. Available at:

http://www.abs.gov.au/AUSSTATS/abs@.nsf/DetailsPage/4727.0.55.0012012-13?OpenDocument (Accessed June 2015).

- [4] Lawrence D, Mitrou F, Zubrick SR. Smoking and mental illness: results from population surveys in Australia and the United States. BMC Public Health 2009;9:285.
- [5] Kelly PJ, Baker AL, Deane FP, Kay-Lambkin FJ, Bonevski B, Tregarthen J. Prevalence of smoking and other health risk factors in people attending residential substance abuse treatment. Drug Alcohol Rev 2012;31(5):638-44.
- [6] Indig D, Topp L, Ross B, Mamoon H, Border B, Kumar S, McNamara M. 2009 NSW Inmate Health Survey: Key Findings Report. Justice Health, Sydney. . 2010.
- [7] Epidemiology Branch, ACT Government Health Directorate. ACT Inmate Health Survey 2010: Summary results. Canberra: ACT Government, 2011.
- [8] Stead LF, Perera R, Bullen C, Mant D, Hartmann-Boyce J, Cahill K, Lancaster T. Nicotine replacement therapy for smoking cessation. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2012;11(11).

- [9] Miller CL, Sedivy V. Using a quitline plus low-cost nicotine replacement therapy to help disadvantaged smokers to quit. Tob Control 2009;18(2):144-9.
- [10] Solomon LJ, Scharoun GM, Flynn BS, Secker-Walker RH, Sepinwall D. Free nicotine patches plus proactive telephone peer support to help low-income women stop smoking. Prev Med 2000;31(1):68-74.
- [11] Okuyemi KS, James AS, Mayo MS, Nollen N, Catley D, Choi WS, Ahluwalia JS. Pathways to health: a cluster randomized trial of nicotine gum and motivational interviewing for smoking cessation in low-income housing. Health Educ Behav 2007;34(1):43-54.
- [12] Roddy E, Romilly N, Challenger A, Lewis S, Britton J. Use of nicotine replacement therapy in socioeconomically deprived young smokers: a community-based pilot randomised controlled trial. Tob Control 2006;15(5):373-6.
- [13] Power J, Grealy C, Rintoul D. Tobacco interventions for Indigenous Australians: a review of current evidence. Health Promot J Austr 2009;20(3):186-94.
- [14] Johnston V, Westphal DW, Glover M, Thomas DP, Segan C, Walker N. Reducing smoking among indigenous populations: new evidence from a review of trials. Nicotine Tob Res 2013;15(8):1329-38.
- [15] Walker N, Howe C, Bullen C, Grigg M, Glover M, McRobbie H, Laugesen M, Jiang J, Chen MH, Whittaker R, Rodgers A. Does improved access and greater choice of nicotine replacement therapy affect smoking cessation success? Findings from a randomized controlled trial. Addiction 2011;106(6):1176-85.

- [16] Eades SJ, Sanson-Fisher RW, Wenitong M, Panaretto K, D'Este C, Gilligan C, Stewart J.

 An intensive smoking intervention for pregnant Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander

 women: a randomised controlled trial. Med J Aust 2012;197(1):42-6.
- [17] Carson KV, Brinn MP, Peters M, Veale A, Esterman AJ, Smith BJ. Interventions for smoking cessation in Indigenous populations. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2012;1:CD009046.
- [18] DiGiacomo M, Davidson PM, Abbott PA, Davison J, Moore L, Thompson SC. Smoking cessation in indigenous populations of Australia, New Zealand, Canada, and the United States: elements of effective interventions. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2011;8(2):388-410.
- [19] Gallagher SM, Penn PE, Schindler E, Layne W. A comparison of smoking cessation treatments for persons with schizophrenia and other serious mental illnesses. J Psychoactive Drugs 2007;39(4):487-97.
- [20] Gierisch JM, Bastian LA, Calhoun PS, McDuffie JR, Williams JW, Jr. Smoking cessation interventions for patients with depression: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Gen Intern Med 2012;27(3):351-60.
- [21] Mendelsohn C. Smoking and depression--a review. Aust Fam Physician 2012;41(5):304-7.
- [22] Bhikha S. The use and effectiveness of smoking cessation programmes and/or support by people with mental illness. 2008.

- [23] Tsoi DT, Porwal M, Webster AC. Interventions for smoking cessation and reduction in individuals with schizophrenia. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2010(6):CD007253.
- [24] Chen H-K, Lan T-H, Wu B-J. A double-blind randomized clinical trial of different doses of transdermal nicotine patch for smoking reduction and cessation in long-term hospitalized schizophrenic patients. European archives of psychiatry and clinical neuroscience 2013;263(1):75-82.
- [25] Williams JM, Steinberg ML, Zimmermann MH, Gandhi KK, Stipelman B, Budsock PD, Ziedonis DM. Comparison of two intensities of tobacco dependence counseling in schizophrenia and schizoaffective disorder. J Subst Abuse Treat 2010;38(4):384-93.
- [26] Baker A, Richmond R, Haile M, Lewin TJ, Carr VJ, Taylor RL, Jansons S, Wilhelm K. A randomized controlled trial of a smoking cessation intervention among people with a psychotic disorder. Am J Psychiatry 2006;163(11):1934-42.
- [27] Leischow SJ, Hill A, Cook G. The effects of transdermal nicotine for the treatment of Hispanic smokers. American Journal of Health Behavior 1996.
- [28] Lerman C, Tyndale R, Patterson F, Wileyto EP, Shields PG, Pinto A, Benowitz N. Nicotine metabolite ratio predicts efficacy of transdermal nicotine for smoking cessation. Clin Pharmacol Ther 2006;79(6):600-8.
- [29] Ahluwalia JS, McNagny SE, Clark WS. Smoking cessation among inner-city African Americans using the nicotine transdermal patch. J Gen Intern Med 1998;13(1):1-8.

- [30] Ahluwalia JS, Okuyemi K, Nollen N, Choi WS, Kaur H, Pulvers K, Mayo MS. The effects of nicotine gum and counseling among African American light smokers: a 2 x 2 factorial design. Addiction 2006;101(6):883-91.
- [31] Shelley D, Cantrell J, Wong S, Warn D. Smoking cessation among sheltered homeless: a pilot. Am J Health Behav 2010;34(5):544-52.
- [32] Richmond R, Indig D, Butler T, Wilhelm K, Archer V, Wodak A. A randomized controlled trial of a smoking cessation intervention conducted among prisoners. Addiction 2013;108(5):966-74.
- [33] Richmond RL, Butler T, Belcher JM, Wodak A, Wilhelm KA, Baxter E. Promoting smoking cessation among prisoners: feasibility of a multi-component intervention. Aust N Z J Public Health 2006;30(5):474-8.
- [34] MacAskill S. The impact of DH Funded NRT in HM Prisons. Revised Findings. Stirling: Institute for Social Marketing, University of Stirling, 2005.
- [35] Ministry of Health. Guide to Prescribing Nicotine Replacement Therapy (NRT). Wellington: Ministry of Health, 2014. .
- [36] Regional Public Health. Aukati KaiPaipa: Reaching Communities, Making a Difference, Evaluation Report: February 2013. Report 1. Wellington: Kokiri Marae Health and Social Service, Regional Public Health, 2013.
- [37] The Quit Group and the Ministry of Health. Maori Smoking and Tobacco Use 2009. Wellington: Ministry of Health, 2009.

- [38] Auckland Regional Public Health Service. Quit now you can do it! Did you know there are a range of options to help you quit smoking? Auckland: Auckland Regional Public Health Service, 2013. .
- [39] Collinson L, Wilson N, Edwards R, Thomson G, Thornley S. New Zealand's smokefree prison policy appears to be working well: one year on The New Zealand Medical Journal 2012;125(1357).
- [40] Australian Government Department of Health. The extension of the listing of nicotine patches on the Pharmaceutical Benefits Scheme from 1 February 2011 (2011). Available at: http://www.pbs.gov.au/info/publication/factsheets/shared/Extension_of_the_listing_of_nicotine_patches (Accessed May 2014).
- [41] Ivers R. Antio-tobacco programs for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people.

 Produced for the Closing the Gap Clearinghouse. Canberra: Australian Institute of Health and Welfare & Melbourne: Australian Institute of Family Studies, 2011.
- [42] NPS RADAR. Nicotine patches for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people.

 Canberra: Australian Government Department of Health and Ageing, 2008.
- [43] The Pharmacy Guild of Australia. Quit for New Life. Available online from: http://www.guild.org.au/nsw_branch/professional-services/health-services/quit-for-new-life (Accessed May 2014).
- [44] Northern Territory Government, Department of Health and Families. Smoke Free Policy.

 Available at:
- http://health.nt.gov.au/library/scripts/objectifyMedia.aspx?file=pdf/34/32.pdf&siteID=1&st r title=DHF (Accessed May 2014).

- [45] Government of Western Australia Department of Health. Smoke Free WA Health.

 Available at: http://www.health.wa.gov.au/smokefree/staff/guidelines.cfm. (Accessed May 2014).
- [46] ACT Government Health. ACT Health is smoke free. Available at: http://www.health.act.gov.au/about-us/act-health-is-smoke-free (Accessed June 2014).
- [47] Department of Health Victoria. Supporting patients to be smokefree: An ABCD approach in Victorian Health Services. Available at:

http://www.health.vic.gov.au/hospitals/smokefreehospitals.htm (Accessed May 2014).

- [48] Government of South Australia SA Health. SA Health Smoke-free Policy. Available at: http://www.health.sa.gov.au/Portals/0/SmokefreeDirective-comms-sahealth-2100504.pdf (Accessed May 2014).
- [49] Butler T, Stevens C. National Summit on Tobacco Smoking in Prisons: Report on the Summit. Perth: National Drug Research Institute, Curtin University, 2011.
- [50] Ministry of Health. Tobacco Use in New Zealand: Key findings from the 2009 New Zealand Tobacco Use Survey. Wellington: Ministry of Health, 2010.
- [51] Thornley S, Jackson G, McRobbie H, Sinclair S, Smith J. Few smokers in South Auckland access subsidised nicotine replacement therapy. Journal of the New Zealand Medical Association 2010;123(1308).
- [52] Scollo M, Siahpush M, Pearce M, Chapter 9.9 Are there inequalities in access to and use of treatment for dependence on tobacco-delivered nicotine? in Scollo, MM and Winstanley, MH [editors]. Tobacco in Australia: Facts and issues. 4th edn. Melbourne: Cancer Council

Victoria; 2012. Available at http://www.tobaccoinaustralia.org.au/chapter-9-disadvantage/9-9-are-there-inequalities-in-access-to-and-usage- (Accessed June 2015). .

[53] Cooper J, Borland R, Yong HH. Australian smokers increasingly use help to quit, but number of attempts remains stable: findings from the International Tobacco Control Study 2002-09. Aust N Z J Public Health 2011;35(4):368-76.

[54] Anderson AE, Bowman JA, Knight J, Wye PM, Terry M, Grimshaw S, Wiggers JH.

Smoking cessation care provision and support procedures in Australian community mental health centers. Psychiatric Services 2013;64(7):707-10.

[55] Wye P, Gow L, Constable J, Bowman J, Lawn S, Wiggers J. Observation of the extent of smoking in a mental health inpatient facility with a smoke-free policy. BMC Psychiatry 2014;14(1):94.

[56] Glover M, Fraser T, Nosa V. Views of Low Socio-Economic Smokers: What Will Help Them to Quit? Journal of Smoking Cessation 2012;7(01):41-6.

[57] Thompson-Evans TP, Glover MP, Walker N. Cytisine's potential to be used as a traditional healing method to help indigenous people stop smoking: a qualitative study with Maori. Nicotine & tobacco research: official journal of the Society for Research on Nicotine and Tobacco 2011;13(5):353-60.

[58] Bonevski B, Bryant J, Paul C. Encouraging smoking cessation among disadvantaged groups: A qualitative study of the financial aspects of cessation. Drug and alcohol review 2011;30(4):411-8.

- [59] Bryant J, Bonevski B, Paul C, O'Brien J, Oakes W. Developing cessation interventions for the social and community service setting: a qualitative study of barriers to quitting among disadvantaged Australian smokers. BMC public health 2011;11(1):493.
- [60] Perusco A, Rikard-Bell G, Mohsin M, Millen E, Sabry M, Poder N, Williams M, Farag L, Hua M, Guirguis S. Tobacco control priorities for Arabic speakers: key findings from a baseline telephone survey of Arabic speakers residing in Sydney's south-west. Health Promotion Journal of Australia 2007;18(2):121-6.
- [61] Heath DL, Panaretto K, Manessis V, Larkins S, Malouf P, Reilly E, Elston J. Factors to consider in smoking interventions for Indigenous women. Australian Journal of Primary Health 2006;12(2):131-6.
- [62] Johnston V, Thomas DP. What works in Indigenous tobacco control? The perceptions of remote Indigenous community members and health staff. Health Promotion Journal of Australia 2010;21(1):45-50.
- [63] Gould GS, Munn J, Watters T, McEwen A, Clough AR. Knowledge and views about maternal tobacco smoking and barriers for cessation in aboriginal and torres strait islanders: A systematic review and meta-ethnography. Nicotine and Tobacco Research 2013;15(5):863-74.
- [64] Cowie N, Glover M, Scragg R, Bullen C, Nosa V, McCool J, Gentles D. Awareness and perceived effectiveness of smoking cessation treatments and services among New Zealand parents resident in highly deprived suburbs. New Zealand Medical Journal 2013;126(1378):48-59.

- [65] Richmond R, Butler T, Wilhelm K, Wodak A, Cunningham M, Anderson I. Tobacco in prisons: a focus group study. Tobacco Control 2009;18(3):176-82.
- [66] Glover M, Fraser T, Bullen C, Wallace-Bell M, McRobbie H, Hadwen G. Transition to a smoke-free culture within mental health and drug and alcohol services: A survey of key stakeholders. International Journal of Mental Health Nursing 2014;23(2):183-91.
- [67] Missen RL, Brannelly T, Newton-Howes G. Qualitative exploration of family perspectives of smoke-free mental health and addiction services. International Journal of Mental Health Nursing 2013;22(4):294-303.
- [68] Bryant J, Bonevski B, Paul C, O'Brien J, Oakes W. Delivering smoking cessation support to disadvantaged groups: A qualitative study of the potential of community welfare organizations. Health Education Research 2010;25(6):979-90.
- [69] Wye P, Bowman J, Wiggers J, Baker A, Carr V, Terry M, Knight J, Clancy R. Providing nicotine dependence treatment to psychiatric inpatients: The views of Australian nurse managers. Journal of Psychiatric and Mental Health Nursing 2010;17(4):319-27.
- [70] Glover M, Fraser T, Nosa V. Views of low socio-economic smokers: What will help them to quit? Journal of Smoking Cessation 2012;7(1):41-6.
- [71] Thompson-Evans TP, Glover MP, Walker N. Cytisine's potential to be used as a traditional healing method to help indigenous people stop smoking: a qualitative study with Maori. Nicotine & Tobacco Research 2011;13(5):353-60.

[72] Bond C, Brough M, Spurling G, Hayman N. 'It had to be my choice' Indigenous smoking cessation and negotiations of risk, resistance and resilience. Health, Risk and Society 2012;14(6):565-81.

Table 1: Number of Randomised Trials Exploring the Effectiveness of NRT in Disadvantaged Groups

Disadvantaged Group	Country	Studies finding significant cessation benefit (short-term or long-term) (n)	Studies finding no significant cessation benefit (n)
Low Income	US, UK, Australia	2[9,10]	2[11,12]
Indigenous Groups	NZ, Australia, Canada, USA	0[13]	3[14-16] Inconclusive: 2[17,18]
People with a mental illness	US, Taiwan, Australia, NZ	4[19-22]	4[23-26]
Culturally and Linguistically Diverse Groups	US	2[27,28]	2[29,30]
Homeless people	US	1[31]	0
Prisoners	Australia, UK	3[32-34]	0